Taskflow: A Lightweight Heterogeneous Task Graph Programming System with Control Flow

Tsung-Wei Huang

C++ now
2021
MAY 2-7
Aspen, Colorado, USA

Why Parallel Computing?

- It's critical to advance your application performance



Your Computer is Already Parallel

- Intel i7-377K CPU (four cores to run your jobs in parallel)



Parallel programming is very challenging …

Taskflow offers a solution

How can we make it easier for C++ developers to quickly write parallel and heterogeneous programs with high performance scalability and simultaneous high productivity?

“Hello World” in Taskflow

```
#include <taskflow/taskflow.hpp>   // Taskflow is header-only
int main(){
   tf::Taskflow taskflow;
   tf::Executor executor;
   auto [A, B, C, D] = taskflow.emplace(
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskA\n"; }
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskB\n"; },
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskC\n"; },
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskD\n"; }
   );
   A.precede(B, C);  // A runs before B and C
   D.succeed(B, C);  // D runs after    B and C
   executor.run(taskflow).wait(); // submit the taskflow to the executor
   return 0;
}
```



Drop-in Integration

- Taskflow is header-only – *no wrangle with installation*

```
~$ git clone https://github.com/taskflow/taskflow.git  # clone it only once
~$ g++ -std=c++17 simple.cpp -I taskflow/taskflow -O2 -pthread -o simple
~$ ./simple
TaskA
TaskC
TaskB
TaskD
```

Built-in Profiler/Visualizer

```
# run the program with the environment variable TF_ENABLE_PROFILER enabled
~$ TF_ENABLE_PROFILER=simple.json ./simple
~$ cat simple.json
[
{"executor":"0","data":[{"worker":0,"level":0,"data":[{"span":[172,186],"name
]
# paste the profiling json data to https://taskflow.github.io/tfprof/
```





Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism

Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism

Motivation: Parallelizing VLSI CAD Tools

- Billions of tasks with diverse computational patterns



How can we write efficient C++ parallel programs for this *monster computational task graph* with ***millions of CPU-GPU dependent tasks along with algorithmic control flow***"

We Invested a lot in Existing Tools …

Two Big Problems of Existing Tools

- Our problems define *complex task dependencies*
  - **Example**: analysis algorithms compute the circuit network of million of node and dependencies
  - **Problem**: existing tools are often good at loop parallelism but weak in expressing heterogeneous task graphs at this large scale
- Our problems define *complex control flow*
  - **Example**: optimization algorithms make essential use of *dynamic control flow* to implement various patterns
    - Combinatorial optimization, analytical methods
  - **Problem**: existing tools are *directed acyclic graph* (DAG)-based and do not anticipate cycles or conditional dependencies, lacking *end-to-end* parallelism

Example: An Iterative Optimizer

- 4 computational tasks with dynamic control flow
  - #1: starts with `init` task
  - #2: enters the `optimizer` task (e.g., GPU math solver)
  - #3: checks if the optimization converged
    - No: loops back to `optimizer`
    - Yes: proceeds to `stop`
  - #4: outputs the result



Need a New C++ Parallel Programming System

While designing parallel algorithms is non-trivial …

what makes parallel programming an enormous challenge is the infrastructure work of "***how to efficiently express dependent tasks along with an algorithmic control flow and schedule them across heterogeneous computing resources***"

Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism


WARNING
Code Ahead

“Hello World” in Taskflow (Revisited)

```
#include <taskflow/taskflow.hpp>   // Taskflow is header-only
int main(){
   tf::Taskflow taskflow;
   tf::Executor executor;
   auto [A, B, C, D] = taskflow.emplace(
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskA\n"; }
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskB\n"; },
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskC\n"; },
      [] () { std::cout << "TaskD\n"; }
   );
   A.precede(B, C);  // A runs before B and C
   D.succeed(B, C);  // D runs after    B and C
   executor.run(taskflow).wait();
   return 0;
}
```

Taskflow defines five tasks:

1. static task
2. dynamic task
3. cudaFlow/syclFlow task
4. condition task
5. module task



“Hello World” in OpenMP




```
#include <omp.h>  // OpenMP is a lang ext to describe parallelism using compiler directives
int main(){
   #omp parallel num_threads(std::thread::hardware_concurrency())
   {
      int A_B, A_C, B_D, C_D;
      #pragma omp task depend(out: A_B, A_C)
      {
         s t d : : c o u t << ”TaskA\n” ;
      }
      #pragma omp task depend(in: A_B; out: B_D)
      {
         s t d : : c o u t << ” TaskB\n” ;
      }
      #pragma omp task depend(in: A_C; out: C_D)
      {
         s t d : : c o u t << ” TaskC\n” ;
      }
      #pragma omp task depend(in: B_D, C_D)
      {
         s t d : : c o u t << ”TaskD\n” ;
      }
   }
   return 0;
}
```

Task dependency clauses

Task dependency clauses

Task dependency clauses

Task dependency clauses

OpenMP task clauses are static and explicit;
Programmers are responsible for a proper order of writing tasks consistent with sequential execution

“Hello World” in TBB




```
#include <tbb.h>  // Intel's TBB is a general-purpose parallel programming library in C++
int main(){
    using namespace tbb;
    using namespace tbb:flow;
    int n = task_scheduler init::default_num_threads () ;
    task scheduler_init init(n);
    graph g;
    continue_node<continue_msg> A(g, [] (const continue msg &) {
        s t d : : c o u t << “TaskA” ;
    }) ;
    continue_node<continue_msg> B(g, [] (const continue msg &) {
        s t d : : c o u t << “TaskB” ;
    }) ;
    continue_node<continue_msg> C(g, [] (const continue msg &) {
        s t d : : c o u t << “TaskC” ;
    }) ;
    continue_node<continue_msg> C(g, [] (const continue msg &) {
        s t d : : c o u t << “TaskD” ;
    }) ;
    make_edge(A, B);
    make_edge(A, C);
    make_edge(B, D);
    make_edge(C, D);
    A.try_put(continue_msg());
    g.wait_for_all();
}
```

Use TBB’s FlowGraph for task parallelism

Declare a task as a continue_node

TBB has excellent performance in generic parallel computing. Its drawback is mostly in the ease-of-use standpoint (simplicity, expressivity, and programmability).

TBB FlowGraph: https://software.intel.com/content/www/us/en/develop/home.html

#2: Dynamic Tasking (Subflow)

```
// create three regular tasks
tf::Task A = tf.emplace([](){}).name("A");
tf::Task C = tf.emplace([](){}).name("C");
tf::Task D = tf.emplace([](){}).name("D");

// create a subflow graph (dynamic tasking)
tf::Task B = tf.emplace([] (tf::Subflow& subflow) {
   tf::Task B1 = subflow.emplace([](){}).name("B1");
   tf::Task B2 = subflow.emplace([](){}).name("B2");
   tf::Task B3 = subflow.emplace([](){}).name("B3");
   B1.precede(B3);
   B2.precede(B3);
}).name("B");

A.precede(B); // B runs after A
A.precede(C); // C runs after A
B.precede(D); // D runs after B
C.precede(D); // D runs after C
```



Subflow can be Nested and Recurive

- Find the 7th Fibonacci number using subflow
  - Fib(n) = Fib(n-1) + Fib(n-2)



#3: Heterogeneous Tasking (cudaFlow)

- Single Precision AX + Y (“SAXPY”)
  - Get x and y vectors on CPU (allocate_x, allocate_y)
  - Copy x and y to GPU (h2d_x, h2d_y)
  - Run saxpy kernel on x and y (saxpy kernel)
  - Copy x and y back to CPU (d2h_x, d2h_y)



Heterogeneous Tasking (cont'd)

```
const unsigned N = 1<<20;
std::vector<float> hx(N, 1.0f), hy(N, 2.0f);
float *dx{nullptr}, *dy{nullptr};
auto allocate_x = taskflow.emplace([&](){ cudaMalloc(&dx, 4*N);});
auto allocate_y = taskflow.emplace([&](){ cudaMalloc(&dy, 4*N);});

auto cudaflow = taskflow.emplace([&](tf::cudaFlow& cf) {
   auto h2d_x = cf.copy(dx, hx.data(), N);  // CPU-GPU data transfer
   auto h2d_y = cf.copy(dy, hy.data(), N);
   auto d2h_x = cf.copy(hx.data(), dx, N);  // GPU-CPU data transfer
   auto d2h_y = cf.copy(hy.data(), dy, N);
   auto kernel = cf.kernel((N+255)/256, 256, 0, saxpy, N, 2.0f, dx, dy);
   kernel.succeed(h2d_x, h2d_y).precede(d2h_x, d2h_y);
});

cudaflow.succeed(allocate_x, allocate_y);
executor.run(taskflow).wait();
```

To Nvidia
cudaGraph



Three Key Motivations

- Our closure enables stateful interface
  - Users capture data in reference to marshal data exchange between CPU and GPU tasks
- Our closure hides implementation details judiciously
  - We use cudaGraph (since cuda 10) due to its excellent performance, much faster than streams in large graphs
- Our closure extend to new accelerator types
  - syclFlow, openclFlow, coralFlow, tpuFlow, fpgaFlow, etc.

```
auto cudaflow = taskflow.emplace([&](tf::cudaFlow& cf) {
  auto h2d_x = cf.copy(dx, hx.data(), N);  // CPU-GPU data transfer
  auto h2d_y = cf.copy(dy, hy.data(), N);
  auto d2h_x = cf.copy(hx.data(), dx, N);  // GPU-CPU data transfer
  auto d2h_y = cf.copy(hy.data(), dy, N);
  auto kernel = cf.kernel((N+255)/256, 256, 0, saxpy, N, 2.0f, dx, dy);
  kernel.succeed(h2d_x, h2d_y).precede(d2h_x, d2h_y);
});
```

We do not simplify kernel programming but **focus on *CPU-GPU tasking* that affects the performance to a large extent!** (same for data abstraction)

#3: Heterogeneous Tasking (syclFlow)

```
auto syclflow = taskflow.emplace_on([&](tf::syclFlow& sf) {
   auto h2d_x = cf.copy(dx, hx.data(), N);          // CPU-GPU data transfer
   auto h2d_y = cf.copy(dy, hy.data(), N);
   auto d2h_x = cf.copy(hx.data(), dx, N);          // GPU-CPU data transfer
   auto d2h_y = cf.copy(hy.data(), dy, N);
   auto kernel = cf.parallel_for(sycl::range<1>(N), [=](sycl::id<1> id){
      dx[id] = 2.0f * dx[id] + dy[id];
   });
   kernel.succeed(h2d_x, h2d_y)
         .precede(d2h_x, d2h_y);
}, queue);
```

Create a syclFlow from a SYCL queue on a SYCL device

#4: Conditional Tasking (Simple if-else)

```
auto init       = taskflow.emplace([&](){ initialize_data_structure(); } )
                          .name(”init");
auto optimizer  = taskflow.emplace([&](){ matrix_solver(); } )
                          .name(”optimizer");
auto converged  = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return converged() ? 1 : 0 } )
                          .name(”converged");
auto output     = taskflow.emplace([&](){ std::cout << ”done!\n"; } );
                          .name(”output");
init.precede(optimizer);
optimizer.precede(converged);
converged.precede(optimizer, output);  // return 0 to the optimizer again
```



*Condition task integrates control flow into a task graph to form **end-to-end** parallelism*

Conditional Tasking (While/For Loop)

```
tf::Taskflow taskflow;
int i;
auto [init, cond, body, back, done] = taskflow.emplace(
  [&](){ std::cout << “i=0”; i=0; },
  [&](){ std::cout << "while i<5\n"; return i < 5 ? 0 : 1; },
  [&](){ std::cout << "i++=" << i++ << '\n'; },
  [&](){ std::cout << "back\n"; return 0; },
  [&](){ std::cout << "done\n"; }
);
init.precede(cond);
cond.precede(body, done);
body.precede(back);
back.precede(cond);
```



Conditional Tasking (Non-deterministic Loops)

```
auto A = taskflow.emplace([&](){ } );
auto B = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return rand()%2; } );
auto C = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return rand()%2; } );
auto D = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return rand()%2; } );
auto E = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return rand()%2; } );
auto F = taskflow.emplace([&](){ return rand()%2; } );
auto G = taskflow.emplace([&](){});
A.precede(B).name("init");
B.precede(C, B).name("flip-coin-1");
C.precede(D, B).name("flip-coin-2");
D.precede(E, B).name("flip-coin-3");
E.precede(F, B).name("flip-coin-4");
F.precede(G, B).name("flip-coin-5");
G.name(“end”);
```



Each task flips a binary coin to decide the next path

You can describe non-deterministic, nested control flow!

Conditional Tasking (Switch)

```
auto [source, swcond, case1, case2, case3, target] = taskflow.emplace(
  [](){ std::cout << "source\n"; },
  [](){ std::cout << "switch\n"; return rand()%3; },
  [](){ std::cout << "case 1\n"; return 0; },
  [](){ std::cout << "case 2\n"; return 0; },
  [](){ std::cout << "case 3\n"; return 0; },
  [](){ std::cout << "target\n"; }
);
source.precede(swcond);
swcond.precede(case1, case2, case3);
target.succeed(case1, case2, case3);
```



Existing Frameworks on Control Flow?

- Expand a task graph across fixed-length iterations
  - Graph size is linearly proportional to decision points
- Unknown iterations? Non-deterministic conditions?
  - Complex dynamic tasks executing “if” on the flv
- Dynamic control-flow tasks?
- … (resort to client-side decision)

Existing frameworks on expressing conditional tasking or dynamic control flow suffer from exponential growth of code complexity

#5: Composable Tasking

```
tf::Taskflow f1, f2;
auto [f1A, f1B] = f1.emplace(
  []() { std::cout << "Task f1A\n"; },
  []() { std::cout << "Task f1B\n"; }
);
auto [f2A, f2B, f2C] = f2.emplace(
  []() { std::cout << "Task f2A\n"; },
  []() { std::cout << "Task f2B\n"; },
  []() { std::cout << "Task f2C\n"; }
);
auto f1_module_task = f2.composed_of(f1);
f1_module_task.succeed(f2A, f2B)
              .precede(f2C);
```



Everything is Unified in Taskflow

- Use "`emplace`" to create a task
- Use "`precede`" to add a task dependency
- No need to learn different sets of API
- You can create a really complex graph
  - Subflow(ConditionTask(cudaFlow))
  - ConditionTask(StaticTask(cudaFlow))
  - Composition(Subflow(ConditionTask))
  - Subflow(ConditionTask(cudaFlow))
  - …
- Scheduler performs end-to-end optimization
  - Runtime, energy efficiency, and throughput



Example: *k*-means Clustering



k=3

One cudaFlow for host-to-device data transfers

One cudaFlow for finding the *k* centroids

One condition task to model iterations

One cudaFlow for device-to-host data transfers

Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism

Submit Taskflow to Executor

- Executor manages a set of threads to run taskflows
  - All execution methods are *non-blocking*
  - All execution methods are *thread-safe*

```
{
  tf::Taskflow taskflow1, taskflow2, taskflow3;
  tf::Executor executor;
  // create tasks and dependencies
  // …
  auto  future1 = executor.run(taskflow1);
  auto  future2 = executor.run_n(taskflow2, 1000);
  auto  future3 = executor.run_until(taskflow3, [i=0](){ return i++>5 });
  executor.async([](){ std::cout << “async task\n"; });
  executor.wait_for_all(); // wait for all the above tasks to finish
}
```

Executor Scheduling Algorithm

- Task-level scheduling
  - Decides how tasks are enqueued under control flow
    - Goal #1: ensures a feasible path to carry out control flow
    - Goal #2: avoids task race under cyclic and conditional execution
    - Goal #3: maximizes the capability of conditional tasking
- Worker-level scheduling
  - Decides how tasks are executed by which workers
    - Goal #1: adopts work stealing to dynamically balance load
    - Goal #2: adapts workers to available task parallelism
    - Goal #3: maximizes performance, energy, and throughput

Task-level Scheduling

- *"Strong dependency"* versus "*Weak dependency*"
  - Weak dependency: dependencies out of condition tasks
  - Strong dependency: others else





Task-level Scheduling (cont'd)

- Condition task is powerful but prone to mistakes …



It is users' responsibility to ensure a taskflow is properly conditioned, i.e., no task race under our task-level scheduling policy

Worker-level Scheduling

- Taskflow adopts *work stealing* to run tasks
- What is work stealing? Why?
  - I finish my jobs first, and then steal jobs from you
  - So, we can improve performance through *dynamic load balancing*





Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism

Application 1: VLSI Placement

- Optimize cell locations on a chip



A placement layout and congestion map of an industrial circuit, adaptec1 (211K cells and 221K nets)



VLSI optimization makes essential use of dynamic control flow

A partial TDG of 4 cudaFlows, 1 conditioned cycle, and 12 static tasks

Application 1: VLSI Placement (cont'd)

- Runtime, memory, power, and throughput











Performance improvement comes from the *end-to-end* expression of CPU-GPU dependent tasks using condition tasks

Application 2: Machine Learning

- IEEE HPEC/MIT/Amazon Sparse DNN Challenge
  - Compute a 1920-layer DNN each of 65536 neurons



Each cudaFlow contains *>1000* of GPU tasks

A partial taskflow graph of 4 cudaFlows, 6 static tasks, and 8 conditioned cycles for this workload

Champions of HPEC 2020 Graph Challenge: https://graphchallenge.mit.edu/champions

Application 2: Machine Learning (cont'd)

- Comparison with TBB and StarPU



- Taskflow's runtime is up to 2x faster
  - Adaptive work stealing balances the worker count with task parallelism
- Taskflow's memory is up to 1.6x less
  - Conditional tasking allows efficient reuse of tasks

Parallel programming infrastructure matters

Different models give different implementations. The parallel code/algorithm may run fast, yet the parallel computing infrastructure to support that algorithm may dominate the entire performance.

Taskflow enables *end-to-end* expression of CPU-GPU dependent tasks along with algorithmic control flow

Agenda

- Express your parallelism in the right way
- Parallelize your applications using Taskflow
- Understand our scheduling algorithm
- Boost performance in real applications
- Make C++ amenable to heterogeneous parallelism


Parallelism is
never standalone

No One Can Express All Parallelisms …

IMHO, C++ Parallelism Needs Enhancement

- C++ parallelism is primitive (but in a good shape)
  - `std::thread` is powerful but very low-level
  - `std::async` leaves off handling task dependencies
  - No easy ways to describe control flow in parallelism
    - C++17 parallel STL count on bulk synchronous parallelism
- C++ has no standard ways to offload tasks to accelerators

Conclusion

- Taskflow is a lightweight parallel task programming system
  - Simple, efficient, and transparent tasking models
  - Efficient heterogeneous work-stealing executor
  - Promising performance in large-scale ML and VLSI CAD
- Taskflow is not to replace anyone but to
  - Complement the current state-of-the-art
  - Leverage modern C++ to express task graph parallelism
- Taskflow is very open to collaboration
  - We want to provide more higher-level algorithms
  - We want to broaden real use cases
  - We want to enhance the core functionalities (e.g., pipeline)

Thank You All Using Taskflow!


Use the right tool for the right job
Taskflow: https://taskflow.github.io
Thank You
Dr. Tsung-Wei Huang
tsung-wei.huang@utah.edu