DtCraft: A Distributed Execution Engine for Compute-intensive Applications

<u>Tsung-Wei Huang</u>, Chun-Xun Lin, and Martin D. F. Wong Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA



Boost your productivity in writing parallel code!

Agenda

O-O-O

Express your parallelism in the right way

- □ Boost your productivity in writing parallel code
- □ Leverage your time to produce promising results

Motivation



"We need a new parallel/distributed timing analysis method to deal with the large design complexities," IBM Timing Group, Fishkill, NY, 2015

Explore a feasible framework

Prototype a distributed timer

□ Scale to billions of transistors



Multi-threaded timer



Big data is NOT an easy fit in EDA!

Runtime comparison on arrival time propagation



Method	Spark 1.4	Java	C++
	(RDD + GraphX Pregel)	(SP)	(SP)
Runtime (s)	68.45	9.5	1.50

A "hard-coded" distributed timer

□ General design partitions

- Logical, physical, or hierarchical partitions
- Design data are stored in a shared storage (e.g., NFS, GPFS)

□ Single-server multiple-client model

- □ Server is the centralized coordinator
- □ Clients exchange boundary timing with server



Three partitions, top-level, M1, and M2 (given by design teams)



Non-blocking IO

Event-driven programming Serialization/Deserialization

Observations



Big-data is not an easy fit in EDA

- □ IO-bound vs CPU-bound
- □ Unstructured *vs* Structured
- □ JVM vs C/C++

□ Hard-coded method is error-prone and not scalable

- Expose to the low-level socket message passing
- Move data between compute nodes' memories
- □ Manage the cluster resource by yourselves
- Difficult to maintain between software generations
- □ Cause you a significant amount of coding efforts

□ Want parallel programming *at* scale far more **productive**

Better productivity means better performance for most people

What does "Productivity" mean to you?

Programming language

□ "I use Python/Matlab/Scala to prototype my project"

□ Transparency

"I use Hadoop/Spark to express my parallel computations without understanding architecture-specific details"

Performance

"I use C/C++/Fortran/MPI to ensure full control over resources to achieve the best CPU and memory performance"

DtCraft project

We let *less-experienced* users express their parallel computing workload without taking away the control over system details to achieve *high performance*, using our *groovy* API written in modern C++17"

Why is being "Productive" important?



Code costs are more than machine costs

Hardware is a commodity resource
 Coding takes people and time

□ I hate writing boilerplate code

□ Redundant steps to write parallel code

// C++ thread example
std::thread t1([=](){ /* do something */ });
std::thread t2([=](){ /* do another thing */ });
t1.join(); // release thread 1 resource
t2.join(); // release thread 2 resource



North America

2016 average software engineer salary > 100K USD

Code becomes massy when data dependencies exist

□ We want computationally productive code

The cloud businesses reduce the hardware factor
 Everything must be parallel moving forward

DtCraft – A distributed execution engine

- □ Modernize yourself with C++17
- Express your workload in our groovy API
- □ Stay away from difficult concurrency controls
- □ Make the most use of cluster resources
- Gain security and reliability with Linux container



Stream graph programming model

```
class Stream {
  weak_ptr<OutputStream> ostream();
  weak_ptr<InputStream> istream();
  function<Signal(Vertex&, OutputStream&)> on_ostream;
  function<Signal(Vertex&, InputStream&)> on_istream;
};
```

```
class Vertex {
   shared_ptr<OutputStream> ostream(key_type) const;
   shared_ptr<InputStream> istream(key_type) const;
   function<void(Vertex&)> on;
   unordered_map<key_type, Stream*> streams;
};
```

```
class Graph {
   VertexDescriptor vertex();
   StreamDescriptor stream(key_type, key_type);
   ContainerDescriptor container();
};
```

```
class Executor : public Reactor {
   Executor(Graph&);
};
```

Only a single executable is required to enable distributed execution!

Graph

- □ Vertex and stream creation
- Resource assignment

Vertex

- One-time callback
- Access adjacent streams

Stream

- □ Level-triggered I/O callback
- Close stream on return

Executor

- Submit your graph
- Debug your graph
- Execute your graph

A concurrent ping-pong example

□ A representative workload in parallel computing

□ Message passing back and forth concurrently

A fundamental building block of incremental flow





Method	Parallelism
C++17 thread	Local/ Distributed
MPI	Distributed

Method to be compared with DtCraft

C++ thread on a local machine



Standard C++ thread coding doesn't scale easily

```
auto count_A = 0;
                                                  // Create a ping thread
auto count B = 0;
                                                  thread t1 (
                                                    [=] () {
// Boilerplate code to open file handles
                                                      do {
int fds[2] = \{-1, -1\};
                                                        pingpong(fds[0], count_A);
auto ret = socketpair(
                                                      while(count_A < 100);
  AF_UNIX,
                                                    }
 SOCK_NOBLOCK | SOCK_CLOEXEC,
                                                  );
 SOCK_STREAMfd,
 fds
                                                  // Create a pong thread
);
                                                  std::thread t2 (
                                                    [=] () {
if(ret == -1) \{
                                                      do {
 throw system_error("Failed on socketpair");
                                                        pingpong(fds[1], count B);
}
                                                      }while(count_B < 100);</pre>
// Send a random binary data to fd and add the
                                                    }
// received data to the counter.
                                                  );
auto pinpong(int fd, int& count) {
  auto data = random<bool>()
                                                  // Join the threads
 auto w = write(fd, &data, sizeof(data));
                                                  t1.join();
 if (w == -1 && errno != EAGAIN) {
                                                  t2.join();
   throw system_error("Failed on write");
  }
  data = 0;
 auto r = read(fds, &data, sizeof(data));
                                                  Amount of code grows with thread
 if (r == -1 \&\& errno != EAGAIN) 
   throw system error("Failed on read");
                                                  count and problem size!
  }
```

count += data;

3

C++ thread on distributed machines



Things become massy going distributed ...

```
auto count_A = 0;
auto count B = 0;
                                                          thread t1 (
                                                            [=] () {
// Send a random binary data to fd and add the
                                                              do {
// received data to the counter.
auto pinpong(int fd, int& count) {
  auto data = random<bool>()
                                                            }
  auto w = write(fd, &data, sizeof(data));
                                                          );
  if(w == -1 \&\& errno != EAGAIN) 
    throw system_error("Failed on write");
  }
  data = 0;
                                                            [=] () {
  auto r = read(fds, &data, sizeof(data));
                                                              do {
  if (r == -1 \&\& errno != EAGAIN) {
    throw system_error("Failed on read");
  }
                                                            }
  count += data;
                                                          );
}
                                                          t1.join();
int fd = -1;
                                                          t2.ioin():
                                 server.cpp
std::error code errc;
if(getenv("MODE") == "SERVER") {
  fd = make_socket_server_fd("9999", errc);
else {
  fd = make_socket_client_fd("127.0.0.1", "9999", errc);
                                   client.cpp
if(fd == -1) {
  throw system_error("Failed to make socket");
}
```

// Create a ping thread
thread t1 (
 [=] () {
 do {
 pingpong(fd, count_A);
 }while(count_A < 100);
 }
);
// Create a pong thread
std::thread t2 (
 [=] () {
 do {
 pingpong(fds[1], count_B);
 }while(count_B < 100);
 }
);
t1.join();</pre>

Branch your code to server and client for distributed computation! simple.cpp → server.cpp + client.cpp (explicit and manual message passing)

Uh... you wonder how they look?



$\ensuremath{\square}$ make socket server fd $\ensuremath{\text{and}}$ make socket client fd

int_make_socket_server_fd($if(fd = -1)$ {	make_fd_close_on_exec(fd);
std::string_view port,	::close(fd);	
sta::error_code errc	fd = -1;	tries = 3;
J = 1	}	
int (d (-1))		issue_connect:
struct addrinfo hints:	}	ret = ::connect(fd, ptr->ai_addr, ptr->ai_addrlen);
struct addrinfo* res {nullptr};	·· freeddrinfe (ree)	
<pre>struct addrinfo* ptr {nullptr};</pre>	::/reeaddrin(o(res);	$if(ret == -1) \{$
	<pre>// Assign the socket to the underlying event native handle.</pre>	if(errno == EINTR) {
<pre>std::memset(&hints, 0, sizeof(struct addrinfo));</pre>	return fd;	<pre>goto issue_connect;</pre>
hints.ai_family = AF_UNSPEC;	}	}
hints.ai_socktype = SOCK_STREAM;		else if(errno == EAGAIN && tries) {
hints.ai_protocol = IPPROTO_TCP;		<pre>std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(500));</pre>
nints.al_Tiags = Al_PASSIVE; // let it fill	the material at all the fill	<pre>goto issue_connect;</pre>
int one {1}:	Int make_socket_client_td(}
int ret:	std::string_view A,	else if(errno != EINPROGRESS) {
int let,	std::error_code&_erro	<pre>goto try_next;</pre>
if((ret = ::getaddrinfo(nullptr, port.data(), &hints) noexcept {	}
<pre>errc = make_posix_error_code(ret);</pre>		errc = make_posix_error_code(errno);
return -1;	errc.clear();	}
}		
	struct addrinfo hints;	// Poll the socket. Note that writable return doesn't mean it is conneg
<pre>// Try to connect to the first one that is available</pre>	struct addrinto* res {nullptr};	if(select_on_write(fd, 5, errc) && !errc) {
<pre>tor(ptr = res; ptr != nullptr; ptr = ptr->ai_next) {</pre>	<pre>std::memset(&bints, 0, sizeof(struct addrinfo));</pre>	int optval = -1 ;
// Ignore undefined in two	hints.ai family = AF UNSPEC:	<pre>socklen t optlen = sizeof(optval);</pre>
if(ntr->ai family L= ΔΕ INET && ntr->ai family L=	hints.ai_socktype = SOCK_STREAM;	if(::getsockopt(fd, SOL SOCKET, SO ERROR, &optval, &optlen) < 0) {
acto try next:	hints.ai_protocol = IPPROTO_TCP;	errc = make posix error code(errno);
}		goto try_next;
	int ret;	}
if((fd = ::socket(ptr->ai_family, ptr->ai_socktype	int fd (-1);	if(optval != 0) {
errc = make_posix_error_code(errno);	Int tries;	errc = make_posix_error_code(optval);
<pre>goto try_next;</pre>	<pre>if((ret = ::getaddrinfo(H.data(), P.data(), &hints, &res)) != @</pre>	goto try next;
}	errc = make posix error code(ret);	}
treateenkent/fd SOL SOCKET SO DELISEADDD Sone	return -1;	break;
SELSOCKOPI(TU, SUL_SUCKET, SU_REUSEADDR, AUTH, S	}	}
if(::bind(fd, ptr->ai addr, ptr->ai addrlep) == -1		
errc = make posix error code(errno);	// Iry each internet entry.	try next:
<pre>goto try_next;</pre>	for(auto ptr = res; ptr != nullptr; ptr = ptr->ai_next) {	Actually mare then the
}	// Ignore undefined in type	if(fd != -1) { ACLUAILY INDIE [ITAI] [ITE
	if(ptr->ai family != AF INET && ptr->ai family != AF INET6) {	::close(fd);
if(::listen(fd, 128) == -1) {	goto try_next;	fd = -1; narallel code vou need
errc = make_posix_error_code(errno);	}	
goto try_next;		
	<pre>if((fd = ::socket(ptr->ai_family, ptr->ai_socktype, ptr->ai_p</pre>	
break.	<pre>errc = make_posix_error_code(errno);</pre>	::freeaddrinfo(res);
}	yoto try_next;	
	,	return fd;
try_next:	<pre>make_fd_nonblocking(fd); }</pre>	

Massage Passing Interface (MPI)



Explicitly move EVERYTHING between compute nodes

{

#define MAX_LEN 1 << 18 /* maximum vector length */ #define TRIALS 100 /* trials for each msg length */ #define PROC 0 0 /* processor 0 */ #define B0_TYPE 176 /* message "types" */ #define B1_TYPE 177 int numprocs, p, /* number of processors, proc index */

/* this processor's "rank" mvid, */ length, /* vector length */ i, t; double b0[MAX LEN], b1[MAX LEN]; /* vectors */ double start_time, end_time; /* "wallclock" times */ /* MPI structure containing return */ MPI Status stat; /* codes for message passing operations */ MPI_Request send_handle, recv_handle; /* For nonblocking msgs */ MPI_Init(&argc,&argv); /* initialize MPI */ MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &numprocs); /*how many processors? */ MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &myid); /*which one am I? */ if (mvid == PROC 0) Ł Hard-coded message

passing

srand48(0xFEEDFACE); /* generate processor 0's vector */

for (i=0; i<MAX_LEN; ++i)</pre>

```
b0[i] = (double) drand48();
}
```

```
MPI_Barrier(MPI_COMM_WORLD);
```

for (length=1; length<=MAX LEN; length*=2)</pre> MPI Barrier(MPI COMM WORLD); if (myid == PROC_0) start time = MPI_Wtime(); for (t=0; t<TRIALS; ++t)</pre> It's user's fault to #ifdef BLOCKING introduce deadlock if (myid == PROC_0) Ł MPI Send(b0, length, MPI DOUBLE, 1, B1_TYPE, MPI_COMM_WORLD); MPI_Recv(b1, length, MPI_DOUBLE, 1, B0_TYPE, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat); } else { MPI_Recv(b1, length, MPI_DOUBLE, 0, B1_TYPE, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat); MPI Send(b0, length, MPI DOUBLE, 0, B0_TYPE, MPI_COMM_WORLD); } #else MPI_Isend(b0, length, MPI_DOUBLE, (myid+1)%numprocs, B0 TYPE, MPI COMM WORLD, &send handle); MPI_Irecv(b1, length, MPI_DOUBLE, (myid+1)%numprocs, B0 TYPE, MPI COMM WORLD, &recv handle); MPI_Wait(&send_handle, &stat);

```
MPI_Wait(&recv_handle, &stat);
#endif
}
```

```
MPI_Finalize();
```

Concurrent ping-pong with DtCraft

```
auto Ball(Vertex& v, auto& k) {
  (*v.ostreams(k))((rand()%2));
  return Stream::DEFAULT;
};
auto PingPong(auto& v, auto& is, auto& k, auto& c) {
  int data;
```

```
is(data);
if((c+=data) >= 100) return Stream::REMOVE_THIS;
else return Ball(v, k)
}
```

```
key_type AB, BA;
auto count_A {0}, count_B {0};
```

```
Graph G;
auto A = G.vertex().on([&](auto& v){ Ball(v, AB); });
auto B = G.vertex().on([&](auto& v){ Ball(v, BA); });
```

```
// Insert an iostream A->B
AB = G.stream(A, B).on(
   [&] (auto& B, auto& istream) {
     return PingPong(v, istream, BA, count_B);
   }
);
```

```
// Insert an iostream B->A
BA = G.stream(B, A).on(
   [&] (auto& A, auto& istream) {
     return PingPong(v, istream, AB, count_A);
   }
);
```

```
// Resource control using Linux container
G.containerize(A, "memory=1KB", "num_cpus=1");
G.containerize(B, "memory=1KB", "num_cpus=1");
Executor(G).dispatch();
```

```
Fewer lines of code overall
```

- Less boilerplate code
- Single program
- No explicit data management
- Easy-to-use streaming interface
- Asynchronous by default
- Scalable to many threads
- Scalable to many machines
- In-context resource controls
- Scale out to heterogeneous devices
- Transparent concurrency controls
- Robust runtime via Linux container
 ... and more

Be gentle to existing systems

No one can claim their system general
 If yes, I understand it's for business purpose ©

Big-data tools

- ✓ Good for data-driven and MapReduce workload
- x Bad for CPU/memory-intensive applications



□ High-performance computing (HPC) language

- ✓ Enabled the vast majority of HPC results for 20 years
- x Suffer from too many distinct notations for parallel programming
- x Analogous to assembly language (bottom-up design)

DtCraft

- ✓ A higher-level alternative to higher-level technologies
- ✓ Transparent concurrency without taking away low-level controls
- x Currently best suitable for compute-intensive applications

System implementation of DtCraft

□ Kernel – *Master*, *Agent*, and *Executor*

Master: global scheduling, deployment, and front-end
 Agent: local scheduling, containerization
 Executor: task execution (local, distributed, submitted modes)

Event-driven programming environment

Redesign the reactor library
 Thread-safe, lock-free, non-blocking IO

□ Streaming interface

Redesign the serialization/deserialization library
 Thread-safe, strongly typed, memory efficient

Linux container

A thin layer of fine-grained resource control
 Secure, safe, and robust



A modern reactor library for event-driven programming

□ The key component to our system kernel

```
// Smart pointer for effective concurrency control.
class Event : enable_shared_from_this <Event> {
  friend class Reactor;
  enum Type {TIMEOUT, PERIODIC, READ, WRITE};
  const function<Signal(Event&)> on;
};
```

```
class Reactor {
```

```
// Executing event callback on a shared thread pool
Threadpool threadpool;
unordered_set<shared_ptr<Event>> eventset;
```

```
template <typename T, typename... U>
future<shared_ptr<T>> insert(U&&... u) {
   auto e = make_shared<T>(forward<U>(u)...);
   return promise(
      [&, e=move(e)](){
      _insert(e); // insert an event into reactor
      return e;
   }
  );
};
```

- ➢ Written in C++17
- Thread-safe
- Lock-free
- Flattened event type
- Task-based parallelism
- Single producer (promise)
- Multiple consumers (future)
- Smart pointer
- Non-blocking IO controls
- Support multiple back-ends
- Shared thread pool
- Callback in a critical section

A memory-efficient serialization/deserialization library

The key component to our message passing

```
struct MyData {
    int raw;
    std::string str;
    std::vector<int> vec;
    // All you need is to include necessary members
    template <typename Archiver>
    auto archive(Archiver& ar) {
        return ar(raw, str, vec); // we use variadic template
    }
};
```

```
class BinaryOutputArchiver {
```

};

```
OutputStreamBuffer& osbuf;
```

```
template <typename... U>
constexpr streamsize operator()(U&&... u) {
    if constexpr (is_POD<U>) {
        osbuf.write(&u, sizeof(u));
    }
    else if constexpr (is_std_string<U>) {
        osbuf.write(u.data(), u.size());
    }
    else if constexpr (is_std_vector<U>) {
        // ...
    }
    // ...
    else
        return archive(forward<U>(u)...);
}
```

- ➢ Written in C++17
- Heavy meta-programming
- Thread-safe
- Strongly-typed
- Convenient to use
- Integrated with our IO buffer
- Binary data format
- No extra parsing/unpacking
- > No secondary representation
- Memory-efficient
- STL ready-to-use

Concurrent input/output stream buffer

0-0-0

□ In charge of reading/writing operations on devices □ Work directly with our serialization/deserialization interface

□ Zero copy in user space



- Written in C++17
- Thread-safe
- Recursive lock
- In-memory buffer
- Shared memory
- Network socket
- FIFO
- Domain socket

A Linux container-based resource control

Namespace isolation & resource control

```
// Linux container
class ContainerDescriptor {
  friend class Graph;
  const key_type key;
  operator key_type() const;
  ContainerDescriptor& add(key_type);
  ContainerDescriptor& num_cpus(unsigned);
  ContainerDescriptor& memory_limit_in_bytes(uintmax_t);
  ContainerDescriptor& blkio(uintmax_t);
  // ...
};
auto A = G.vertex();
auto B = G.vertex();
// Create a container for vertex A with 1 CPU,
// 1 MB memory, and 1 GB block IO
G.container().add(A)
             .num_cpus(1)
             .memory_limit_in_bytes(1_MB)
             .blkio(1_GB);
// Create a container for vertex B with 2 CPU,
// 2 MB memory, and 2 GB block IO
G.container().add(B)
             .num cpus(2)
             .memory_limit_in_bytes(2_MB)
             .blkio(2_GB);
```

- Safe and robust runtime
- Minimize intruder's effect
- Network isolation
- UTS isolation
- IPC isolation
- PID isolation
- User/Group isolation
- Cgroup isolation
- Mount point isolation
- In-context resource controls
- Give scheduler hints
- Maximize cluster performance

Graph deployment and workload distribution

Global scheduler – master

□ Manage users' graph submissions

Partition graph through bin-packing optimization

□ Local schedulers – agents

□ Fork-exec an executor for each topology

Containerize the executor under resource constraints



Intra-stream and inter-stream talk through shared memory and TCP socket, respectively

Experiments on machine learning

□ Logistic regression and *k*-means algorithms □ Mimic the MapReduce-based flow with ten iterations

Compared with Spark 2.0 MLib

More than an order of magnitude faster
 No extra overhead on the first iteration to cache data
 Explicit resource controls outperform blind RDD partitions



Experiments on graph algorithms

Shortest path algorithm

□ Circuit graph with 10M nodes and 14M edges

Higher connectivity than many of big data graphs

□ Mimic the Pregel-based algorithm (Bellman-Ford style)

Compared with Spark 2.0 GraphX

Less synchronization overhead
 An order of magnitude faster
 Scale up as the graph size increases



(a) Circuit (1.01mm²) (b) Graph (3M gates)

(c) A signal path

Distributed timing analysis using DtCraft

Two-level hierarchical design (three partitions)



Exchange timing data – delay, slew, etc.



Deploy the distributed timer in one line

DtCraft

```
// Create a timer vertex for Top
auto Top = G.Vertex().on(
  [=] () {
   OpenTimer timer ("Top.v");
  }
);
// Create a timer vertex for Macro 1
auto M1 = G.Vertex().on(
  [=] () {
   OpenTimer timer ("M1.v");
  }
);
// Create a timer vertex for Macro 2
auto M1 = G.Vertex().on(
  [=] () {
   OpenTimer timer ("M2.v");
  }
                         Only three lines for
);
                         resource control in
// Create streams ...
                         Linux container
// Distribute timers to machines.
```

G.container().add(Top).num_cpus(4).memory_(4_GB); G.container().add(M1).num_cpus(1).memory(8_GB); G.container().add(M2).num_cpus(2).memory(6_GB);

~\$./submit -master=127.0.0.1 binary



Existing framework



Comparison with the hard-coded method

□ ×17 fewer lines of code

33% from message passing67% from boilerplate code

□ 7-11% performance loss

Transparent concurrencyAPI cost

"With DtCraft, it took me only three weeks, precisely, the **SPARE time** out of my summer internship at Citadel, to build a distributed timer that otherwise took my **whole summer internship** with IBM".



Development time



Experiments on EDA tool Integration

Electronic design automation (EDA)



Experiments on EDA tool integration (cont'd)

Physical design and timing analysis



Physical design (1B transistors)

20 15

10 5



Conclusion



DtCraft: A distributed execution engine

Creation of new parallel/distributed algorithms
 Tool-to-tool integration at cloud scale

□ Tentative first release on 12/1

Github repository

Acknowledgment
UIUC CAD group

Thank you!

Tsung-Wei Huang twh760812@gmail.com (512) 815-9195



Boost your productivity in writing parallel code!